

accuracy of record keeping that is not presently in effect. It demands a centralization so that knowledge is accessible to all of our criminal agencies at one local source, namely the Highway Department. It demands an absolute accuracy of record keeping and provides further that the Department will audit that record keeping to see that it is accurate and that the rights of citizens who are not properly charged are in fact, protected. It allows access to records that are currently sealed from the party at interest. Today a juvenile, if he is in court, has not right to his records nor does his attorney. This will correct that. Now, I don't like to see people take their venom on a particular lobbying firm out on a piece of legislation. I think that we are all bigger than that, and I think that we have a much higher responsibility than that. If we want to confine ourselves to the issue of this bill, fine. There are very few pieces of legislation that come across this floor that are not tried by one lobbyist or another, be it an individual or a hired firm to convey information and additional assistance in putting these things together. I think that it is childish to hide our heads in the sand and say that lobbyists are...that this is the only thing that they have ever touched. Lets confine ourselves to the issue. Lets continue with this bill, if you have corrections that you wish to make to a particular section, do so. Don't throw the baby out with the wash water. I would oppose the kill motion.

SENATOR SIMON: Senator Koch. I'm sorry, Senator Fowler is next.

SENATOR FOWLER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature. I was one of those who was at the luncheon meeting that was discussed and came away not very satisfied, the sandwiches were poor and the conversation was even poorer. I think what upsets me, and I don't think that it is just a childish matter, is that a very major piece of legislation moved off the consent calendar with the understanding that there would be discussion. When an attempt at discussion was made, the answer from the folks that were supporting it was simply, we don't want to talk about any amendments. We do not want to change the bill. We don't want to do anything along that line. Now I think that Senator Murphy is here in kind of a strange position. Advocating that we do something because of federal mandate. I don't know how many times Senator Murphy has stood on this floor and objected to federal mandates. This is a very, very far reaching piece of legislation. It has a lot of implications about creating computerized record systems, personal files, centralized files about people. It is a very lengthy piece of legislation that should get lengthy consideration. I think that Senator Simon is right in trying to try and indefinitely postpone it and have it studied. I think that these implications in the bill must be looked at. Now I have three amendments up after the kill motion. One is on technical matters that were not effectively addressed in the bill. Two are philosophical questions that I think should be addressed. But I know that we can not adequately discuss all the ramifications of this legislation tonight or tomorrow. For that reason I think that it should be indefinitely postponed. I think that we should look at it carefully. I think that it is not something that we should act on lightly or hastily. I can understand Senator Murphy's interest in